

(203)

[REDACTED]

JAN 27 1953

Dr. Julius A. Stratton, Professor  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge 37, Massachusetts

Dear Dr. Stratton:

Remembering your request to be kept informed of any progress in the problem of "unidentified flying objects," this letter will bring you up to date.

Last November, the section of the Air Technical Intelligence Center charged with investigation of reports of sightings presented a status briefing at the Agency. Based upon the evidence presented, the subject was discussed at a December meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Committee (CIA, Army, Navy, Air Force, Joint Staff, State, AEC, and FBI). At that time it was recommended that CIA should assemble a panel of highly qualified personnel to examine the problem and its ramifications. Particular competency in the fields of physics, radar and astronomy was believed desirable.

We were fortunate to obtain the services of especially qualified men, I believe you will agree. Enclosed for your information are copies of the report submitted by the Panel and related material. We concur in the conclusions and recommendations of the Panel, and are forwarding the report to appropriate authorities. Since the problems related to this subject appear to be operational rather than intelligence in nature, we will be concerned only indirectly in the future. However, if there are any developments in which we believe you might be interested, we shall inform you. Incidentally, knowledge of any interest of CIA in the subject of "flying saucers" has been carefully restricted, in view of probable misunderstanding if it were publicly known.

With best wishes,

Cordially yours,  
Spencer H. Marshall Chadwell  
N. Marshall Chadwell  
Assistant Director

- Enclosures:
- 1. Panel Report
  - 2. List of Personnel
  - 3. Evidence presented

→

Distribution:  
Boston Office [REDACTED] with Enclosures - 1  
OO/C, [REDACTED] no enclosures - 1  
OSI: [REDACTED] (37Jan53)

Approved for Release  
2/20/10

Copied From Nearly  
Identical Original

203

Air Force Office, F2 Division, FI  
2000 Main Building, 3rd Floor, 1115 1st St., S.W.  
[The Office of the Chief of Staff] AF - Wash DC

27 January 1953

Minutes of OGI Advisory Group on Unidentified Flying Objects,  
14-17 January 1953

1. At your request I represented F2E Division at the subject meeting. I was present at nearly all meetings of the group with the exception of the afternoon of Friday, the 15th and the session on Saturday, the 17th. During the latter two sessions the Advisory Group went into executive session for the preparation of their report to A3/1.

2. The following OGI Consultants formed the Advisory Group:

- Dr. H. P. Robertson, Chairman
- Dr. Sam Souders
- Dr. Louis Elvares
- Dr. Thornton Page (missed morning session on 15th)
- Dr. Lloyd Peckham (present only on afternoon of 15th and 17th)
- Dr. J. A. Lynch (an A3/1 consultant - he sat in all the sessions after the first day, but did not sign the report to A3/1 as an official group member)

3. The following OGI staff members attended:

- Dr. H. H. Cartwell (most of the first day only)
- Mr. P. G. Strong (first day only)
- Mr. F. C. Strong (although not an OGI consultant, he functioned as a staff member for the conference and attended all sessions including the executive session)
- Mr. H. B. Cleveland, Weapons Division
- Mr. Col. F. C. A. Gier, A3E Division


*Release*

4. The following persons were invited to present certain background information to the Advisory Group:

Captain Edward R. Holt, AFIS who presented information on the work of Proj. Minkook (and its predecessors "Fire" and "Thunder") and discussed in detail many of their specific investigations.

Major Thomas J. ... (by now on inactive status and with the AFIS Corp.) who presented findings in connection of AFIS, representatives of the Army Photographic Lab who presented their analysis of films of a "lighting".

Continued on Next Page  
Initial Original





5. The first business on the agenda was a review by and a critique (Att. #1) to the Group by Mr. U. [unclear] This was followed by a statement of the [unclear] by Mr. [unclear] It had been suggested by [unclear] to [unclear] into the "Flying Saucer" problem because of their related national security problems: (a) possible exploitation to produce more [unclear], (b) the conditions and dangers inherent in observations of "flying saucers" by the [unclear], [unclear] and elsewhere [unclear], (c) the current "flying saucer reports" overlaid in the emergency communication facilities of the Department of Defense.

6. Because of the quality of the participants and the excellence of their introduction to the subject by Mr. [unclear] and Mr. [unclear], the Advisory Group never lost sight of its goals in spite of the fact that the discussion took many excursions.

7. The Advisory Group submitted its report to AD/CI on 17 January 1953. Their principal finding was that at the present time the unidentified flying objects pose no threat to the security of the United States. The report called for the establishment of a program of education regarding the phenomena particularly as concerns the U.S. radar and observer system, and for the general public as well.

8. Most of the FBI files on this subject are being retained in the office of the [unclear] Weapons Division has a considerable file which concerning [unclear] they would like to dispose of because of the findings of the Advisory Group that "flying saucers" pose no present threat to the United States security. Mr. [unclear] feels that the material in the Weapons Division file should be maintained in one of the substantive Divisions of FBI and has suggested that FBI Division take this over and maintain them. Mr. [unclear] of Weapons Division currently has the material and estimates that several hours per week will be required to keep it current. He indicates that he is suggesting to Mr. [unclear] Chief of Weapons Division, that FBI Division get the files. I would like to suggest that the Acting Chief, FBI Division discuss this with Mr. [unclear] I personally don't see why FBI Division has any greater interest in this material than Weapons Division.

9. If CP Branch, FBI Division is given the responsibility for the files mentioned in paragraph 8, above, one (1) additional case will be required.

Release [FBIHQ] C. A. GALT Lt. Colonel, USAF

FWD: [unclear]

- cc: Crif. # / - Div. Off.
- 1 - [unclear]
- 1 - [unclear]
- 1 - Crif. Inator

[ ]

~~TOP SECRET~~

CHARGE TO O/SI ADVISORY GROUP ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. To review available evidence on unidentified flying objects and to consider possible dangers to national security related thereto.
2. To advise concerning the amount and kind of data necessary for a scientific evaluation of these phenomena.
3. In particular to comment on:
  - a. Validity of current CIA evaluation of related dangers.
  - b. Methodology and scope of current and planned AFIC investigation.
  - c. Advisability of further meetings of this group or further review of the subject by a larger panel.

~~TOP SECRET~~

POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS

A. Hysteria, hallucinations, hoaxes, etc. (Psychological)

B. Misidentifications of conventional objects:

- 1. Aircraft, missiles, searchlight reflections, etc. (man-made, controlled)
- 2. Balloons, kites, etc. (man-made, uncontrolled)
- 3. Birds, bugs, etc. (animal)
- 4. Dust, seeds (e.g. dandelion), paper, etc. (foreign matter)

C. Natural Phenomena:

- 1. Light aberrations, reflections, refractions, etc.
- 2. Anomalous radar propagation.
- 3. Ionization, static electricity, ball lightning, etc.
- 4. Planets, meteors and other astronomical bodies.
- 5. Others.


D. Unconventional man-made devices:

- 1. US
- 2. USSR and other foreign







E. Extraterrestrial origin

- 1. Animal
- 2. Machines

*Basis of Maj. Jennings' presentation  
to OSI Admin. Rep 14-17 Jan  
(given on 16 Jan!)*



TOTALISTICS

<p>(1) ↑                    (3 in near direct                  array in circular                  or zig-zag manner)</p>	<p>(2) ↑                    (All objects had a                  moving action                  from side to side)</p>	<p>(3) ↑  </p>	<p>(4) ↑  </p>
<p>(5) ↑  </p>	<p>(6) ↑  </p>	<p>(7) ↑                    (Pattern?)</p>	<p>(8) ↑                    (Pattern?)</p>
<p>(9)                  2 in general trail                  formation (trailing                  object varied                  position)</p>	<p>(10)                  4 objects                  (exact formation                  not specified)</p>	<p>(11) ↑                    ("perfect forma-                  tion")</p>	<p>(12) ↑                    (Form?)</p>
<p>(13)                  Cluster in                    (Pattern?)</p>	<p>(14) ↑  </p>	<p>(15) ↑  </p>	<p>(16) ↑  </p>
<p>(17)                  3 objects, one                  above other 2                  apart</p>	<p>(18)          </p>	<p>(19)  </p>	<p>(20)  </p>

made,

(5)

le  
 ce